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Abstract

The capacity, hence the load-settlement behaviour of a pile is governed by the collective behaviour of the base and shaft. It is highly desirable, sustainable and economical to accommodate the increasing demand for deeper and wider foundations through the use of higher capacity piles. This research investigated the performance of preformed displacement ribbed piles in a unit gravity model test. Four tests were carried out to replicate the constant rate of penetration (CRP) test in the field. Two lengths corresponding to short and long piles for each of plain and ribbed piles were employed. Compacted Kaolin S300 type was employed as the model soil. The failure load of the piles was determined using six different methods. An increased capacity of 32% and 20% was obtained in the short and long ribbed piles, respectively. Also, it was observed that the closer the distance between the ribs, the higher the capacity produced for equal number of ribs. The ribbed piles gave higher capacities through the increase in their shaft capacity which is associated with the presence of ribs along their lengths. An increase in the axial capacity of displacement piles can be attained by modifying the profile of the pile shaft through the use of ribs.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Piles are utilised to transmit loadings from superstructures through weak or compressible strata or water to a firmer soil or rock layer. They are needed to prevent settlements, resist axial, lateral, cyclic and uplift loads [1, 2]. The capacity, hence the load-settlement behaviour of a pile is governed by the collective behaviour of the base and shaft. Ideally, the shaft capacity is mobilised at much smaller displacements than the base capacity [3, 4]. The shaft resistance develops at a relatively small settlements about 0.5% of the shaft diameter, whereas the base resistance needs a larger resistance of about 10-20% of the base diameter to fully develop [4]. The pile shaft resistance is influenced by the state and properties of soils within the critical zone immediately surrounding the pile; the method used for driving the pile; the roughness of the pile surface (i.e., pile materials); and the state of the pile end. The longer the pile, the larger the contribution of the pile shaft resistance to the axial load carried by the pile [5, 6].

In recent times, there is an increasing need to construct taller structures in more confined spaces or on weaker soils; this leads to demands for deeper and wider pile foundations[7]. However, it is more desirable to produce piles of higher capacity to accommodate this demand. In addition to being cost-effective, this is highly sustainable based on the reduced consumption of concrete, lower excavations and lesser spoil removal; which eventually leads to a green environment. Ribbed piles have been shown to have enhanced shaft capacity when compared with the conventional plain (straight shafted) piles. Rib designs such as concentric, helical, tapered and under reamed ribs have been experimented at various spacing and different outstanding, thickness and distance between the ribs for bored cast in-situ piles [8-10].

The capacity of piles are commonly verified through full scale axial compression load tests [11]. The pile head load-movement comprises three components viz; the load-movement of the pile toe, the elastic compression of the pile and the load-movement of the shaft resistance. The relative magnitude of the three dictates the load-displacement response of a pile while the shaft resistance exhibits the ultimate resistance [12]. However, full scale tests are costly to undertake [13-15], hence physical modelling are increasingly being used to study geotechnical engineering systems [16, 17]. Bearing capacity of a pile is conventionally defined as the load corresponding to a settlement equal to 10% of pile diameter [18, 19]. Other common criteria for load test interpretation are discussed in [20-22].

In a bid to broaden the usability of ribbed piles, this research examines the performance of displacement ribbed piles in a unit gravity model test using Kaolin soil. Four model tests were carried out to replicate the constant rate of penetration (CRP) test in the field. Two lengths corresponding to short and long piles for each of plain and ribbed piles were employed respectively.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Materials

a) Kaolin – The model soil used is the commercially manufactured powdered kaolin S300 type supplied by Kaolin (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. Kaolin is chemically known as hydrated Aluminium Silicate. It is structurally unmodified, platy in structure, hydrophilic, readily water dispersible with a pH range between 3.5 and 6.0 and loss on ignition of 2-6% at 1025°C. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of this product obtained by XRF method as supplied by the manufacturer [23]. Functionally, the model soil is to provide penetration resistance to the model pile along its entire shaft and base. The choice of a manufactured clay is premised on its ability to lessen variability and enhance repeatability of the results.

Table 1 Chemical composition of Kaolin S300 type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Oxide Formula</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7-12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>80-90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>&lt;2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>&lt;0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Model Piles: Four stainless steel circular moulds, two each of lengths 100 mm and 150 mm were fabricated. The diameter of the moulds are 24 mm. The lengths are for short and long piles based on Brom’s classification (l/d < 5 and l/d > 5 accordingly). Additionally, to ensure comparison between the ribbed piles, equal number (5) inverted-vee-shaped dents of 2 mm depth of width and length 10 mm and 6 mm (anterior and posterior) respectively at equal spacing of 18 mm and 27 mm (short and long piles respectively) were made inside two of the moulds to create corrugations during casting (Figure 1). The model piles were cast with mortar in the laboratory according to BS EN 998-2 [24]. A mix ratio of 1:3 for cement: sand and a water: cement ratio of 0.45 was used, the casting was demoulded after 24
hours and cured in water for 28 days. The corrugations later translated to ribs after setting and demoulding the piles (Figures 2 and 3).

2.2 Testing Equipment

a) Testing chamber: This is a rigid box having dimensions (320 x 150 x 430) mm length, width and height, respectively. The front face of the chamber is a removable 20 mm thick rigid Perspex panel which facilitates real time view of the testing while the remaining three sides are made from Aluminium panels. All the sides were fixed tightly using ‘M8’ bolts which prevented lateral movements. Four steel rods 20 mm in diameter were located beside the testing chamber; they were attached to a base plate and were used to mount the loading frame. The loading plates and the base plate are made of Aluminium with dimensions (660 x 300 x 20) mm length, width and thickness, respectively.

b) Driving unit: The driving unit was fixed on the loading plate. The drive shaft is made from a solid stainless steel rod and a stopper was installed inside the drive shaft to prevent the rod from rotating during the displacement process. A two way motor was used to rotate the central drive shaft via a rubber belt. A Panasonic 825 Watt speed controller was connected to the motor as a power source. The controller has variable speed control and a two way switch which could change the movement of the shaft either upwards or downwards (Figure 4).

c) Transducers and Data Acquisition System: A 25 mm stroke length Linear Variable Displacement Transducer (LVDT) was utilised for measuring the displacement of the model piles during the loading stage. A 2.0 kN load cell transducer was utilised to measure the force during the loading. The LVDT was connected to the CR800 data logging device and the input signals were recorded on a computer connected to the data logger. A logging software “Loggernet 4.0” was installed on the desktop and utilised for the data acquisition (Load Cell and LVDT).

Other tools employed include a wooden hammer (100 x 60 x 200) mm head, (40 x 20 x 300) mm handle weighing 1.17 kg; 2 porous plastics (320 x 150 x 5) mm; spatulas; mixing tray (600 x 600 x 60) mm; hand trowels and wash bottles.

2.3 Preparation of Soil Model

For each test, 14 kg of Kaolin clay was weighed in the mixing tray. 2.1 kg of water was intermittently added and the sample was thoroughly mixed using hand trowels until a uniform consistency was attained. A porous plastic was placed at the bottom of the testing chamber to prevent soil particles from leaking from the testing chamber, then the sample was added in 5 layers of comparable mass, each layer was compacted with 25 blows of hammer. The spatula was utilised to create uneven surface in between the layers. The second porous plastic was placed over the final compacted soil layer to ensure a flat surface. A
hole of 24 mm in diameter was made at the centre of the porous plastic and this was marked as the position for the installation of the pile. The porous plastic was then removed and the model pile was driven through the marked position into the soil to reach the same level with the top of the soil model.

2.4 Load Test

During the pile load test, the load cell and the round tipped adaptor were mounted at the end of the drive shaft and carefully lowered until the adaptor tip came into contact with the top of the pile. The LVDT was mounted on the top of the driving shaft by attaching it to a retort stand to measure the settlement of the pile. The load and settlement of the pile during the test were recorded by the logging system. The tests were ended when the penetration of the pile reached approximately 20 mm depth, a point at which the pile must have failed based on all available failure calculation methods. The undrained strength and moisture content of the soil were determined prior to the loading test. A 20 x 40 mm hand held vane tester was employed for the strength test while the moisture content test was done according to BS 1377-2:1990:3.2. The process was repeated for each of the model piles.

2.5 Failure Load Determination Methods

The following interpretation methods for load tests were employed in this research to obtain the measured capacities \( Q_{n} \) of the piles.

i. 10% of pile diameter settlement [18, 19, 21].

ii. 15% of pile diameter settlement [20].

iii. 6 mm settlement [21].

iv. DeBeer (1970) [26, 27]: It is the load at the change in slope on a log-log load settlement curve, obtained at the intersection of two line approximations connecting the data at before and after the failure load.

v. Chin (1970) [26, 27]: It is the inverse slope \((1/m)\) of a line \( s/p = ms+c \), where \( p = \) load and \( s = \) total settlement, obtained by dividing each settlement by its corresponding load and plotting the resulting value against the settlement.

vi. Hansen 80% (1963) [26, 28, 29]: A plot of the square root of settlement divided by its load value against the settlement was made. The ultimate load equals \((1/2\sqrt{C1C2})\) where \( C1 \) and \( C2 \) are the slope and intercept of the plot.

2.6 Predicted Capacity of Model Piles

The static formula is the basic approach to the design of piles based on Soil Mechanics principles [25]; it was employed to compute the predicted capacity \( Q_{p} \) of the piles (Equation 1).

\[
Q_{p} = A_b \left( c_u N_c \right) + \left( c_u \right) A_s
\]

Where \( Q_{p} \) = ultimate pile capacity; \( A_b = \) base area; \( A_s = \) shaft area; \( N_c = \) bearing capacity factor; \( c_u = \) undrained shear strength and \( a = \) adhesion factor. The adhesion factor \( a \) is “the ratio of skin friction mobilised on the pile shaft to the undrained shear strength of the undisturbed clay” [9]. In this research, \( a = 1 - 0.0011(c_u - 25) \) for \( 25 \leq c_u \leq 70 \) was adopted [1]. \( N_c \) was taken as 9 and a factor of safety (FOS) of 2 was adopted, \( Q_{p} = Q_{p} / \) FOS.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Properties of Kaolin

To obtain the particle size distribution of the kaolin type S300, both the dry sieving and the hydrometer tests were carried out according to BS 1377-2:1990:9.2 and 9.5, respectively. The result revealed that most of the soil particles (99.3%) fall between 0.001 - 0.212 mm in size and the clay is a well-graded soil. The particle size distribution curve is shown in Figure 5. Atterberg limits tests were carried out according to BS 1377-2:1990:5.3 and 4.3, the liquid limit obtained is 37% while the plastic limit is 28%, this implies that the plasticity index is 9%. These results are in conformity with the values obtained by Marto et al. [30-32] for the same type of Kaolin. The specific gravity of the clay from the small pycnometer test carried out according to BS 1377-2:1990:8.3 is 2.65. Based on AASHTO classification system, Kaolin S300 is a low plasticity silt and falls in
Group A-4 while it is classified as ML based on USCS classification. Kaolin soil classified as ML but termed as clay has also been used by other previous researchers [33-35].

The moisture content of the soil model was designed to be 15% and this was confirmed from the result of the moisture contents test carried out on the sample. The dry density of the clay was 1.38 Mg/m³, while the bulk density obtained was 1.59 Mg/m³ at 15% moisture content. Also, the average undrained shear strength \( c_u \) of the soil model was 60 kPa. The soil model is a stiff soil based on the value of \( c_u \) obtained. The properties of the soil were consistent for all the four models.

3.2 Capacity of Piles

Figure 6 is the load-settlement plot for the four tests while Figures 7-9 show the graphs based on the methods (iv-vi) i.e. DeBeer (1970), Chin (1970) and Hansen 80 % (1963), respectively. Table 2 shows the measured capacity \( Q_m \) based on the selected failure load interpretation methods.

By comparing the average \( Q_m \) for the long piles (PP2 & RP2), an increase of 19.5% was observed for the long piles while an increase of 32.1% was observed for the short piles (PP1 & RP1). These imply that ribs accounted for these increases, the increase is more prominent in the short pile because the number of ribs per unit length of the pile is higher when compared with the long pile based on the spacing of the ribs.
The predicted capacity \(Q_p\) of the piles were 0.448 kN & 0.340 kN for the long and short piles, respectively. This implies that the average \(Q_p\) for the model piles was lower for the plain piles by 1.8% & 9.4% for the long piles while it is higher by 17.4% and 19.7% in the ribbed piles. The ribs accounted for these differences in the capacity of the piles.

From back-calculations, it was observed that the shaft resistance of the ribbed piles at the failure load was higher than that of the plain piles. The shaft capacity for the long ribbed pile was 76.8% as compared to 72.5% of the plain long pile. Also the shaft capacity was 70.0% to 60.4% for the ribbed and plain short piles respectively. Again, the difference was higher in the short pile which has a closer arrangement of the ribs.

The ribbed piles gave higher capacities through the increase in their shaft capacity. This is distinctively associated with the presence of ribs (corrugations) along their lengths. The corrugations caused an increase in the skin friction, hence a higher adhesion factor \(\alpha\) for the ribbed pile.

### Table 2 Failure loads (kN) of the piles from different interpretation methods and the average values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Q_m(10% \text{ of diameter}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(15% \text{ of diameter}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(\text{mm settlement}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(\text{DeBeer}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(\text{Chin}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(\text{Hansen}))</th>
<th>(Q_m(\text{Av.}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP2</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP2</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP1</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PP1 = Plain pile 100 mm long; PP2 = Plain pile 150 mm long; RP1 = Ribbed pile 100 mm long and RP2 = Ribbed pile 150 mm long

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

This research employed unit gravity model test to examine the influence of ribs on the ultimate capacity of displacement piles. The use of model test in this study relieved the use of the usual full scale test which is highly expensive to execute. Prior researches on ribbed piles focused on field-in-situ bored piles while this research extend it to preformed displacement piles. Five unique inverted-vee-shaped dents were employed to form the ribs on the model piles. Compacted kaolin clay was used to model stiff clay in the laboratory.

The load test results revealed that ribbed piles have higher capacity when compared with plain piles of equal dimensions. A significant increase of 32.1% was observed in the short pile because of the closer rib spacing employed. The ribs increased the shaft resistance of the ribbed pile via an increased skin friction in the piles. It is obvious from this research that an increased axial capacity of displacement piles can be attained by modifying the profile of the pile shaft. This can be done by using ribbed displacement piles.
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