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Abstract

This study aims to explore the current implementation of Project Quality Management System and identify critical success factors of project quality management system associated with the indicators for each critical success factor identified. Hundreds of articles searched using keyword of “success factor”, “quality” and “construction” were gathered and analyzed using content analysis method. This research provides a comprehensive compilation of all previous study on the Critical Success Factors for Project Quality Management System implementation, through a clearly structured methodological approach. A total of six critical success factors for project quality management system in construction industry were identified. Each of the critical success factors is associated with three indicators that present evidences of implementation. The findings of this study provide guidance to the organization in implementing project quality management system effectively and efficiently.

Keywords: Critical success factors; quality; quality management system; project; construction

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Quality management system (QMS) is defined as “all activities of the overall management function that determine the quality policy, objectives and responsibilities, and implement them by means such as quality planning, quality control, quality assurance and quality improvement within the quality system” (1994). ISO 9000 is one of the QMS commonly apply in various industry including construction. According to Lin and Jang (2008), since the introduction of ISO 9000 till year 2005, there are total of 776,608 companies across 161 countries are ISO 9000 certified. Evidences showed various advantages derived from adopting ISO 9000 QMS, these including but not limited to, improving the communications between stakeholders, minimizing the mistakes, rework and wastage, better control of sub-contractors and suppliers, and other benefits which are therefore, increasing productivity, profit, and market share as well meeting the clients requirements (Douglas, Coleman, & Oddy, 2003; Motwani, Kumar, & Cheng, 1996).

The construction industry had lived in the quality programme of inspection and quality control for years. Construction works and materials were accepted or rejected...
based on the inspection and quality control. However, the introduction of BS 5750: Quality Systems in the UK in 1980’s ISO 9000 in the same period had changed the perception of the construction industry’s peoples in managing quality in construction environment (Giles, 1997). Local construction industry is also affected by the development and significant phenomenon of the ISO 9000 certification.

In Malaysia, the Construction Industry Development Board of Malaysia (CIDBM) has circulated a circular Bil.2/2006 to put a mandatory requirement for Grade 7 contractors, which is the highest level of contractor’s registration to obtain ISO 9001 certification before 1st January 2009. Failure to do so will cause their registration be relegated or terminated. Besides, CIDBM also had taken a positive step by introducing a scheme namely Do-It-Yourself (DIY) scheme to all the contractors in Malaysia with the aims to facilitate the contractors to obtain ISO 9001. Introduction of DIY scheme has successfully increasing the numbers of contractors certified with ISO 9001. In year 2006, total of 375 contractors were certified with ISO 9001 (CIDB, 2007) and additional total of 180 contractors were certified in year 2009 (CIDB, 2009).

Construction is a project based industry which the definition of quality in the construction is meeting the customer’s expectation (Jha and Iyer, 2006), for that reason, the success of QMS should be measured at project level rather at company level. As according to Barrett (2000), quality implementation in the construction industry can be categorized into two levels: company-based quality system and project-based quality system. However, most of the studies were done at company-based, limited number of studies focused on project-based. Implementation of PQMS at project level is challenging. In the past two decades, quality level of the construction industry is claimed still poor despite the introduction of various new technologies and management system (Sullivan, 2010). Among the major challenges always remains on the overall quality of the project. Construction projects often undergo project delays, cost overruns and non-conformance to quality, leading to poor performance and dissatisfied parties (Senaratne & Sexton, 2009). While the benefits of implementing quality system at company level are obvious as quoted earlier, the construction team faced difficulties to transfer them to the project level. An interesting study on the effect of quality system certification had been done by Barrett & Grover (1998) in which they concluded that for those companies that have achieved certification, the actual impact on the quality of the service from the client’s viewpoint has been only slightly positive. Ng (2005) also reported the dissatisfaction of client on their expected quality level contributed by the engineering consultants in ISO 9000-based construction project. Research by Abdullah (2005) confirmed the similar situation faced by the local construction team in implementing quality system in a large scale construction project. As pointed by Tam et al. (2006b), “with all the quality programmes, quality appears far better on paper than it does on site”.

Whilst studies are abound on the barriers and solutions, benefits and costs, and perceptions of the construction team on the quality system (Abdul-Aziz, 2002; Au & Yu, 1999; A. A. Bubshait & Al-Aqiq, 1999; Chini & Valdez, 2003; Haupt & Whiteman, 2004; Hoonakker, Carayon, & Loushine, 2010; Huang, 2010; S. Y. W. Lam & Tang, 2002; Lindahl & Ryd, 2007; McAdam & Canning, 2001; Nycyk, 2008; L. S. Pheng & Hwa, 1994; L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; Alfredo Serpell & Ferrada, 2007; Shammas-Toma, Seymour, & Clark, 1998; Tang & Kam, 1999), only a few research on the critical success factors (CSF) in implementing the quality system in the construction industry especially at project level is observed. According to Rockart (1979) CSFs are the limited number of areas in which results, if they are satisfactory, will ensure successful competitive performance for the organization.

The CSFs for the project success are different from the CSFs for the quality system implementation depending on the nature of study. Previous studies mainly focused on the CSF for the project success. For instance, Lam et al. (2007) identified eleven CSFs for the Design and Build-based project success i.e. time, cost, quality, functionality, low accident rate, minimal claims and disputes, environmental consciousness, aesthetic purpose, learning value, expectations of project participants and professional image. Whereas Haupt and Whiteman(2004) identified nine CSFs for implementing TQM on construction sites i.e. top management commitment, top management involvement, primary customer focus, well developed planning, participative management style, continuous improvement measurements, rewards for TQM contributions, TQM applied to all fields’ operations, and workers trained in TQM. Both CSFs are apparently diverse with each other because they are meant for different purposes. The project success is meant for the product-oriented while the quality system is meant for the process-oriented (Huang, 2010).

Identification of critical success factors and its indicators for PQMS implementation is the seed to success, which considered as the first approach towards the PQMS. The review shows that most of the research of CSF for the quality system irrespective of the type of the industry also focused on the identifying the CSF, ranking the level of criticality and finding the indicators for each CSF (Ab Wahid & Corner, 2009; Achanga, Shehab, Roy, & Nelder, 2006; Ahmad, Francis, & Zairi, 2007; Baidoun, 2004; Chin & Choi, 2003; Fotopoulos & Psomas, 2010; Khanna, Sharma, & Laroiya, 2011; Kim, Kumar, & Kumar, 2011; Love, Edwards, & Sohal, 2004; Psomas, Fotopoulos, & Kafetzopoulos, 2010; Salaheddin, 2009a, 2009b; Singh, 2011; Singh, Garg, Deshmukh, & Kumar, 2007). For that, this paper aims to explore on the current implementation of Project Quality Management System (PQMS) and to identify the critical success factors for PQMS implementation in Malaysian construction industry. The following sections details in-depth study that explores the CSF for ISO 9000-based PQMS and their indicators.

### 2.0 THE CSF FOR ISO 9000 BASED PQMS

To the best of author knowledge, previous literatures mainly focused on the success factors of TQM implementation in construction and limited research documented on the ISO 9000-based PQMS. For instance, Arditi and Gunaydin(1997) recognized that the importance factors affected quality in construction are corresponded to the TQM elements; Haupt and Whiteman(2004) studied success factors in transferring the TQM to the construction site; Pheng and Teo(2004) attempted to prove that the TQM could be successfully implemented in construction industry; and Bryde and Robinson (2007) studied the application of TQM to the construction project management. Others specify the quality system in general as quality management system in their researches such as Jha and Iyer(2006) who determined the critical factors affecting the quality performance in construction project and Ries et al. (2010) who analyzed the best practice in leadership and third party certification for QMS in construction. Research in ISO 9000-based PQMS success factors is scarce and centered to the single party of the construction team. For instance, Chin and Choi(2003) who determined the success factors for ISO 9000 implementation by the contractor in Hong Kong construction industry. Other research in ISO 9000-based PQMS are not related to the success
factors of implementation such as the study on the performance of the engineering consultants in ISO 9000-based PQMS (Ng, 2005; Tang & Kam, 1999) and the effect of ISO 9000-based PQMS to the performance of the construction project (Din, Abd-Hamid, & Bryce, 2010).

While there have been several studies on the CSF for ISO 9000 implementation, they are mainly focus at the company level and some are referred to non-construction industry. For instance, Kim et al. (2011) developed the performance realization framework based on the motivations; critical success factors; and impacts of ISO 9000 implementation, but their research were for general industries. Work by others (Ab Wahid & Corner, 2011; Chin & Choi, 2003) were focus on the CSF for ISO 9000 implementation at construction related company-based QMS. As a matter of fact, there are many researches in implementing quality system at construction project level that have touched modestly on the several success factors. To encompass all CSF for PQMS, the disjointed success factors cited in the articles are scrutinised and categorised. Accordingly, 40 articles are considered to contain “success factors” applicable for PQMS implementation regardless of the type of quality system.

Hundreds of articles are reviewed after searching through the online database libraries including Emerald, Pro-Quest, Scopus and Web of Science. Among the keywords used are “success” and “quality system” and “construction”. Successive rounds of abstract reviews resulted in only fifty three articles are considered related to CSF for quality system regardless of the type of industry and CSF for construction per se. To identify the CSF through the articles involves two stages. The first stage is categorizing the like concepts into like category. Success factors that appeared to refer to same phenomenon are grouped together. At this stage, the proposed relationship is still provisional. After completion of this stage, 9 possible success factor categories are identified. The second stage involves close reviewing of the 9 possible success factors categories and finally, by collapsing several categories, 6 CSF are identified for the implementation of PQMS. Considering the definition by Rockart (1979) that the CSF is a “limited areas” the management should focus to flourish the business, this stage also produces the indicators for each CSF (area) comprising of the activities or signs that the CSF has been practiced. Table 1 shows the final 6 CSF for PQMS implementation and the references. The frequencies of references are stated for arranging the CSF in ascendant order. The subsequent sections explain each CSF in detail.

### Table 1: CSF for PQMS implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Success factors</th>
<th>Previous Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 2.0 THE CSF FOR ISO 9000 BASED PQMS

#### 2.1 Client’s Commitment

Clause 5.1 Management Commitment in ISO 9001:2008 specifies the requirements for the “top management” commitment in driving the implementation of the quality system. The use of “top management” is a major amendment from previous edition where “a supplier’s management with executive responsibility” was used to describe the position required to steer the quality system. This is to show the important role of top management after major deficiency observed in implementing the ISO 9000 by managerial level who do not have the authority to make strategic decisions.

This clause also is an explicit requirement that the top management also will be audited. According to the clause 5.1, as a minimum, top management should:

- communicate to the organization the importance of meeting customer as well as statutory and regulatory requirements;
- establish a quality policy and objectives;
- conduct management reviews; and
- ensure the availability of resources.

Top management commitment to quality is also the most widely cited CSF. This CSF referred to the need to have a committed leadership at the top management level. Most of the authors of the articles stressed the importance of the top management commitment in various forms. Pheng & Hwa (1994) highlighted the importance of the commitment from the construction parties’ top management in implementing the PQMS especially at the infancy stage of implementation. Similarly
Bubshait (1994) stressed the significant positive impact on quality system implementation if the owner involves actively in his project. Pheng and Ke-Wei (1996) indicated that commitment from top management will ensure regular training in quality is carried out, resulted to staff participation and contribution through quality control circles; and positive change of attitudes. Giles (1997), Haupt and Whiteman (2004) and Serpell (1999), on the other hand, looked at the reward given in the form of commercial incentives for the quality performance achievement as the indicator of management commitment.

Other investigators indicated that the commitment from top management on quality can be seen from the emphasis on training in quality (Jha & Iyer, 2006; L. S. Pheng & Ke-Wei, 1996; Zwikael, 2008); change management and quality culture (Chin & Choi, 2003; Love et al., 2004; L. S. Pheng & Ke-Wei, 1996; L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; Low Sui Pheng & Hong, 2005); performance measurement (L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; Zwikael, 2008); continuous improvement (Chin & Choi, 2003; Haupt & Whiteman, 2004); and resources allocation (Jha & Iyer, 2006; L. S. Pheng & Ke-Wei, 1996; L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; Low Sui Pheng & Hong, 2005). Chin and Choi (2003) suggested a management review to be conducted periodically to look for improvement opportunities.

Some authors suggested that the top management becoming the champion in the quality programmes by upgrading their competency level in quality (Jha & Iyer, 2006); having thorough understanding of quality system (Arditi & Gunaydın, 1997); and preparing the first few important documents on quality system and deliver these to all staff members (Low Sui Pheng & Hong, 2005). All these will play a prominent role in manifesting the leadership (Chin & Choi, 2003; Kim et al., 2011) and top management deeply involvement will drive the need for commitment from all staff members (Low Sui Pheng & Hong, 2005).

Quality culture and change management are not explicitly mentioned in the ISO 9001 standard as they are considered as the results of top management commitment (Chin & Choi, 2003; Love et al., 2004; L. S. Pheng & Ke-Wei, 1996; L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; Low Sui Pheng & Hong, 2005). However, many previous researches highlighted the positive impact in nurturing the quality culture and promoting the change management. Kim et al. (2011) selected the quality-oriented culture as one of the CSF for implementing ISO 9001 at company level. Whereas, in assessing the quality relationships in the public housing in Hong Kong, Chan et al. (2006) revealed that fostering a proactive quality culture is paramount in the construction industry as to confront the negative and trifling attitudes of the contractors towards quality. Likewise, the promotion of quality culture is also pertinent to the consultants and other professionals in the construction industry (Faulkner et al., 2000).

All the efforts to cultivate the quality culture begins with the change management (Tang and Kam, 1999). The change management can occur by the top management commitment; constructive contribution from quality manager to convince and change employees’ attitude; and involve people’s in procedures writing (McCabe, 1996). It also should be done at all levels: the organizational changes, cultural changes and the structural changes (Chin and Choi, 2003). Pheng and Hwa (1994) emphasised the quality culture begins when everybody accepts quality as priority and customer satisfaction orientation (Antony et al., 2002). It is the results of training and education conducted for all levels of staffs to familiarize them with the quality concept.

It is undeniable that education and training will initiate the process of developing the quality culture development and change management but Huang (2010) argued that since the quality process is dynamic the approach also must dynamic. He suggested adoption of the system dynamic modelling techniques to simulate and monitor the quality managing process and learning organization. Softer and long-termed process approach suggested by Hoonakker et al. (2010) is to adopt the clan culture. Clan culture, most common in family-type organisations, characterised by teamwork, employee-involvement programmes, and corporate commitment to employees can change the culture in the construction industry Organisations characterised by a clan culture treat their customers as partners and its employees as family.

In reviewing the above discussion, two issues are of interest: 1. who is the “top management” at the project level?; 2. how to bind the commitment between the parties? Most of the researches are mainly focussed on the involvement of individual party at project or company level, hence the use of “top management commitment” phrase is substantiated. However, a few researchers examined the management commitment at project level emphasised the commitment of the client for driving the quality system (Bubshait, 1994; Chan and Tam, 2000: Chan et al., 2006; and Jha and Iyer, 2006). For instances, work by Bubshait (1994) showed that owner involvement is the key for the quality system implementation at project level, while Jha and Iyer (2006) stated that competence of the owner plays a prominent role in defining the expected level of quality from the contractor organization.

Since the client has to play the prominent role in driving the implementation of quality by other parties, the best method is to bind it as a contract conditions (Pheng and Hwa, 1994; Netto et al., 1997; Barrett, 2000). However, the implementation should be participative (Haupt and Whiteman, 2004) and contractual arrangement based on partnership souldbe introduced (Hoonakker, 2010).

### 2.2 Integration of Quality Plan

The requirement of providing quality plan is stipulated in clause 7.1 “product realization” of the ISO 9001:2008. The detail discussion on the quality plan can be referred in Section 2.2.2. Sjoholt (1995) popularised the idea of integrating the individual quality plan. No other explicit citation on the integrating the quality plan except Sjoholt (1995). However, the essence of integration of the quality system is supported by many authors (Pheng and Hwa, 1994, Barrett, 2000, Lam and Tang, 2002, Battikha, 2003). Pheng and Ke-Wei (1996) even insisted a non-bureaucratic project quality plans for all levels of work which is seen as not practical. Perhaps suggestion by Battikha (2003) to apply the multilevel management scheme to the different organizational structures in quality management is preferable. Similarly, Barrett (2000) stressed the need to have a sound formal system that link all relevant parts of all of the participant’s own quality systems together around the needs of the project. However, a balance with an informal relationship among the parties should be developed (Barrett, 2000).

Integrating the quality plan involves the effort to balance the needs of the stakeholders such as client, developer, user and community; integrate the roles and responsibilities of the many parties; and link the customer quality expectations with specific goals and processes throughout design and construction (Toakley & Marosszeky, 2003). Insisting all parties to submit the individual quality plan is already a pre-requisite in the ISO 9000, but more importantly is the integration is done by a right choice of quality consultant (McCabe, 1996, Giles, 1997) in order to produce good quality system and to avoid overlapping and overlooking of scope of quality activities and conflicts (Pheng and Hwa, 1994).
Clause 1.2 Application of the ISO 9001:2008 claims that the requirements of the standard are generic and are intended to be applicable to all organizations, regardless of type, size and product provided. This clause also stated two key points i.e. first, an organization can exclude requirements within clause 7 Product Realization that are not required in order to meet customer requirements or are not required by the nature of the product or service provided, and second, an organization cannot exclude requirement that affect the ability to produce and provide conforming product or service (Cianfrani, Tsiakals, & West, 2009).

In practice there are many instances where the ISO 9001 requirements need to be reconciled with the nature of the construction project and any specific factors of the construction practices, otherwise it will be implemented improperly and create frustration in attempting to fit the practice to the requirements of the standard. For example, the conditions of the contracts. Netto et al. (1997) concluded after comparing the requirements of the ISO 9000 standard and the condition of contracts, that there is a need to consider the compatibility of the quality system and the standard forms of building contracts. Pheng and Ke-Wei (1996) emphasised the need to consider the implications of the range of contractual forms available as well as the effect of these on the quality systems. They even urged consideration to be made to the various contractual situations at various stages of the overall construction process. However, the main thing is both, the clause of contracts or quality system need to be changed to suit to best practice and support the quality activities at the strategic level as well as the operational (Netto et al., 1997; A. Serpell, 1999).

There are other areas that challenge the construction industry to customize the ISO requirements such as with the processes of construction project management, roles and responsibilities of various parties, practice at field operations and site management level (Arditi & Gunaydin, 1997; Haupt & Whiteman, 2004; A. Serpell, 1999). Tang and Kam (1999) stressed the compatibility between the ISO 9001 requirements and design process, whereas Hodgson (1999) added the construction process as well. Love et al. (2004) generalised all the exercises as the customization of the quality system to the business strategy. However, Hoonakker et al. (2010) have different radical stands. He stressed that since the ISO 9000 emphasizes on the standardisation, the contractors and designers should focus on the similarities and make more use of standardisation, prefabrication and system-building. The main effect to the construction industry by using these systems is the changes in the construction processes towards the manufacturing processes of building elements. The success implementation of the quality system in the manufacturing industry can be achieved by the construction industry as half of the processes are carried out at the factories.

2.3 Performance Measurement and Improvement

Clause 8 Measurement, Analysis and Improvement of the ISO 9001:2008 details the requirements for an organization to conduct the measurement, analysis and improvement activities. The requirement is important for measuring the strength and weakness of the system and to evaluate whether the system is effectively maintained and meets the requirements of the standards. The standard requires measurement and analysis to be conducted on the customer satisfaction, process and product. Internal audit also is stated as part of the main requirements. All the results will be reviewed in a series of management review session that is described in Clause 5.6 Management Review. The output of the management review should be specific improvement activities. The clause also stated the aims for conducting management review i.e. to evaluate the effectiveness of the system, define opportunities for improvement and the need for changes.

The performance measurement and improvement is also considered imperative by the previous studies (Ab Wahid, 2010; Antony et al., 2002; Haupt & Whiteman, 2004; Santos et al., 2000). Pheng and Teo (2004) listed three main benefits of conducting the exercise i.e. adding value to processes, increasing quality levels, and raising productivity. Love et al. (2004) added that the quality improvement system has a role in improving the morale of employees while quality control and feedback by project participants will help in improving the workmanship (Jha & Iyer, 2006). Some of the performance measurement activities mentioned in the articles are internal audit (Chin & Choi, 2003; Kim et al., 2011; L. S. Pheng & Ke-Wei, 1996), external audit where the audit is conducted to measure the ability and performance of outside parties such as consultant, contractor, suppliers and subcontractor (Au & Yu, 1999; Chan et al., 2006; Faulkner et al., 2000; Hodgson, 1999; Jha & Iyer, 2006; Tam et al., 2000; Willis, 1996).

To reinforce the commitment in implementing, maintaining and improving the quality system, Au and Yu (1999) suggested to link the design and inspection process review, and the quality performance achievement with the interim payment giving incentives (Tam et al., 2000) and using “stretch targets” or key performance indicator (KPI) (Santos et al., 2000) are among other approaches to stimulate continuous improvement.

The performance measurement involves gathering the data, facts and figures and analysing them in an understandable manner and presentation. Thus, the competency of the auditor, inspectors, and reviewers should be continuously assessed and upgraded with advanced learning and training. Among the quality trainings related to measurement, analysis and improvement are statistical methods, standard problem solving techniques and cost of quality (Arditi & Gunaydin, 1997). Another approach to measure the performance in quality especially the workmanship is by using the established measurement scoring system such as PASS or CONQUAS to measure contractor’s quality performance (Tam et al., 2000). In the context of Malaysian construction industry, the Quality Assessment System In Construction (QLASSIC) has been implemented by the Construction Industry Development Board of Malaysia for several years that benchmark the quality of workmanship of the project at the national level.

2.4 Education and Training in Quality Management

The lack of education and training in quality will grow negative attitudes of the construction parties due to misconception of the quality programmes and system (Pheng and Hwa, 1994). To improve the situation at the infancy stage of the quality system implementation in Singapore construction industry, they suggested a nationwide quality training for all players in the construction industry. The need for education and training in quality is unavoidable and cited by many researchers (Tam and Kam, 1999, Antony et al., 2002, Ab Wahid and Corner, 2009, and Kim et al. (2011).

There are many benefits of conducting the learning and training and among the benefits are: it will enhance the construction team understanding the needs of quality system (Giles, 1997), promote quality awareness and basics (Arditi and Gunaydin, 1997), and develop the skills and abilities of the employees to ultimately bring about improvement (Pheng and Hong, 2005). The education and training in quality is also required at the management level and in fact, it should be done at all level and at least extended to the foreman level (Abdul-Rahman, 1996, Arditi and Gunaydin, 1997). According to Jaafari
According to Barrett (2000), strong informal relationships between the parties is the key for project success and PQMS implementation. Jha and Iyer (2006) detailed the meaning of strong informal relationship as active and positive interaction among project participants; proper understanding of the needs of the others; the coordinating ability and positive attitude of project participants; a short and informal line of communication as well as regular construction control meetings among project teams further support the achievement of the desired quality level. Finally, others (Hoonakker et al., 2010; L. S. Pheng & Teo, 2004; A. Serpell, 1999) brought forward an innovative contractual relationship that based on partnering where according to Hoonakker et al. (2010), “the principle is that the parties try to work as much as possible as if they were a single organisation”.

2.6 Use of Information and Communication Technology

Managing information is one of the main problems in the construction project (Zeng et al., 2007) as there are many parties involved with various non-standardised techniques in handling the information contains in the records and documents. ISO 9001:2008 Clause 4.2.2 Control of Document and 4.2.3 Control of Record stress the importance of controlling the documents and records where they require a documented procedure for approving, circulating, storing and retrieving is to be established. Therefore, establish filing index, associated procedures, document log and data storage in managing the information especially in large projects where voluminous records are generated is significant (Antony et al., 2002; Au & Yu, 1999). However, Au and Yu (1999) suggestion on having a decentralised document control functions probably suits manual approach of handling the records.

With the advance and rapid development of information and communication technology (ICT), many authors suggested the use of electronic quality document management system (Chin & Choi, 2003; Chini & Valdez, 2003; Hajjar & AbouRizk, 2000; Love et al., 2004; Nycyk, 2008; Zeng et al., 2007). Among the benefits are ICT could minimize and optimised the documentation requirements (Chini & Valdez, 2003), quick accessibility of records, simultaneous document sharing and better adherence to ISO 9000 standards (Nycyk, 2008). Some authors suggested the use of project-specific website that integrates the internet, electronic information management system and the quality system (Love et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2007). With all the efforts to manage the information through ICT and electronic document control system, they are subject to the willingness, knowledge and skills of the participants in handling the ICT, hence, requires for management of change in the use of ICT and specific training and hands-on experience (Nycyk, 2008).

3.0 THE CONSTRUCTS OF CSF AND ITS INDICATOR FOR PQMS

The discussion on the CSF above derived six CSF for the implementation of PQMS. At the same time, eighteen indicators have been identified. Table 2 summarised the CSF and their indicators.
Table 2 CSF and indicators for PQMS implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Client’s commitment</td>
<td>1.1 Client provides conditions in the contracts for the preparation and implementation of project quality system by all parties involved in the construction projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 Client provides campaigns and trainings in PQMS to all parties especially to the management level down to foreman level to generate quality awareness and comprehension on the PQMS process and procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 Client provides adequate resources to support PQMS such as budgets, appointment of the right choice of quality consultant and incentives for quality achievement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Integration of quality plan</td>
<td>2.1 All construction main parties (client, consultant and contractor) prepare their project quality plans according to ISO 9000 and contract requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2 The individual party’s project quality plans are integrated by a competent quality consultant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 The integrated quality plan links all relevant parts of all of the participant’s own quality plan together around the needs of the project, balances the needs of the stakeholders such as clients, consultants, and contractors, identifies, specifies the roles and responsibilities of the parties to prevent overlapping and overlooking of functions, and reconciles with construction project management and contract conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Measurement and improvement</td>
<td>3.1 Planned internal and external audits are conducted to measure the performance of construction parties’ quality system implementation and to identify areas for improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 The quality committee conducts management reviews as planned to determine the areas for improvement based on the records and reports listed by the ISO 9000 standard such as the audit report and complaints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 Client takes into account the construction parties’ quality system performance when judging for the interim payment and incentives contribution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Education &amp; trainings in quality management</td>
<td>4.1 Continuous and regular trainings are conducted to make the construction team to understand the needs of quality system, enhance the quality awareness and basics of quality system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 Quality trainings are targeted at every level of the organization especially at least extended to the foreman level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3 Introduce quality control circles (QCC) to all levels in order to look for opportunities for improvement and to promote learning project organisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Teamwork and communication</td>
<td>5.1 Establish steering committee consists of all parties involved such as client, consultant and contractor to create common goal, togetherness and integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2 Quality training and briefing are conducted through a well-planned team structure to ensure cohesiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3 Strong informal relationships between the parties with informal line of communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2 Integration between the information technology requirements and quality systems requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 Management of change in the use of ICT through trainings and workshops.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Research in PQMS implementation and the CSF for its implementation can be a valuable step in enhancing the understanding and practical approaches for ensuring successful and effective implementation. A review on the previous literature reveals that there are limited study that has been done to the PQMS implementation. Further, the disjointed success factors mentioned in scattered articles recommends the future study to...
focus on the comprehensive CSF and its indicators for the PQMS implementation. Overall, there are 6 CSF for PQMS where each CSF has 3 indicators that present evidences of implementations. The literature review also reveals that there is no study that encompasses all key stakeholders of the construction project. Therefore, future research should consider the key stakeholders in construction project namely the client, consultant and contractor. 
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