Extraction of Virgin Coconut (Cocos nucifera) Oil Using Supercritical Fluid Carbon Dioxide
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The coconut oil obtained from dried coconut and copra has no fragrance or taste due to the refining process while virgin coconut oil (VCO) extracted from fresh coconut flesh has taste and fragrance of coconut [1]. The VCO is known as a natural source of medium chain triglycerides (MCTs) which have been reported that fasting plasma triglyceride levels, insulin resistance, inflammatory response as well as body weight management [2]. According to the study by Nevin and Rajamhan [3], the antioxidant activity of VCO is superior to the coconut oil extracted from copra and groundnut oil because VCO is being processed without employing thermal treatment.

Supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO2) had been used as the alternative technique to extract the VCO in order to enhance the properties of the extracted VCO. This is because supercritical fluid has relatively low critical temperature (31.1°C) and critical pressure (73 bar) allows extraction processes to operate near ambient temperature [4]. The low viscosity and high diffusivity of supercritical fluid also cause the mass transfer favorable. Furthermore, carbon dioxide (CO2) used as the solvent in this study left no residue in the extracted VCO and this solvent is non-toxic. Hence, the extracted VCO is safe to be consumed and suitable to be applied in food industries.

In this work, the effect of temperature and pressure on the extraction of VCO, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content were studied. The optimization of the extraction conditions was evaluated using statistical method, response surface methodology (RSM) which introduced by G. E. P. Box and K. B. Wilson in 1957 explores the relationships between several explanatory variables and one or more response variables. In addition, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine the significance of the model by using F tests with 90% confidence level.

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Raw Materials

The coconut was Malaysia origin obtained from a local source. The coconut was grated; moisture content was reduced from 50% to 3% with sun drying. This was to avoid the clogging of the capillary restrictor due to the water frozen caused by Joule–Thomson effect in the expansion valve. The dried sample was sieved with the average size of 0.424 mm to 1.5 mm and was used as the extraction sample.
2.2 Extraction of Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) Using Supercritical Carbon Dioxide (SC-CO₂)

The experiment was performed with a set of laboratory scale supercritical extraction. The system consists of Supercritical 24 fluid extractor (SSI, State College Pennsylvania, US), a carbon dioxide cylinder, programmable back pressure regulator (Model BP-2080, JASCO, Japan) and constant flow pump which is designed to be reliable component wherever a constant flow must be maintained. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the supercritical carbon dioxide which used for extraction.
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Figure 1 The schematic diagram of supercritical fluid extraction using carbon dioxide as solvent

The extraction process was the semi-batch process with the continuous flow of the carbon dioxide and the flow rate was set at 3ml/min. As mentioned in section 2.1; the coconut crude sample had been grated into coconut powder. The coconut powder is charged into the extractor along with the carbon dioxide. The parameters studied for the supercritical fluid extraction of virgin coconut oil as shown in the Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, X</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>45 ≤ X ≤ 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure, Y</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>15 ≤ Y ≤ 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oil yield was calculated using the following Equation (1):

\[
Oil\ Yield\ (\%) = \frac{m_1}{m_0} \times 100\%
\]  

where \(m_1\) is mass of the extract in gram and \(m_0\) is mass of sample in gram.

2.3 Determination of Antioxidant Activity

The 2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl radical (DPPH) free radical method was used to determine the antioxidant activity which is based on the determination of the concentration of DPPH at steady state in a methanol solution [5]. According to the study of I. Stoilova et al., the synthetic antioxidant, butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as positive control. The optic density was measured at 518 nm [6]. The antioxidant activity (AA) was determined using the following formula (2):

\[
AA\% = 100 - \frac{[(Abs.\ sample - Abs.\ empty\ sample) \times 100]}{Abs.\ control}\]  

2.4 Determination of Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu reagents [7]. The assay was done by 1ml of extract or standard solutions (0-500 mg/l) were added to 10 ml deionized water and 1.0 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagents. After 5 minutes, 2.0 ml of 20% sodium carbonate was added to the mixture and kept in dark condition for 1 hour. The absorbances of the samples were measured at 750nm.

2.5 Validation of Data using F-test

The F-value from the calculation of ANOVA was compared with the F-value from the table to determine either the null hypothesis was accepted or rejected. If the value of \(F_{\text{calc}}\) larger than \(F_{\text{table}}\), then the null hypothesis is accepted. This means that the \(F_{\text{calc}}\) falls within the accepted region in the \(F\) distribution curve which is shown in Figure 2. The accepted region is lies on the left hand side of the \(F\) and the rejected region lies on the right hand side. The \(\alpha\) value is the error value and if the \(F\)-value lies in this region, the equation chosen is not significant.
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Figure 2 The F-value distribution curve

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Statistical Analysis

The effect of temperature and pressure on the extraction of oil yield, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content were analyzed using Statistica 8.0 based on the Box-Behken experiment design.
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Figure 3 Contour plot of the SC-CO₂ extraction on oil yield as related to temperature and pressure
3.2 Effect of Temperature and Pressure on the Oil Yield

Figure 3 shows the contour plot of the relationship between temperature and pressure to the extraction of oil yield. The highest oil yield obtained when extraction process was performed at the operating pressure of 24MPa while temperature was 65°C and above. However, the increase of temperature will decrease the oil yield while at higher pressure; the oil yield was increased when the temperature was increased. This phenomenon is due to the resistance of mass transfer in the matrices [4]. The contour plot also shows that the oil yield was increased when the increasing of operating pressure due to the increase of the solvating power of carbon dioxide.

3.3 Effect of Temperature and Pressure on Antioxidant Activity

The RSM also used to evaluate the effect of temperature and pressure on the antioxidant activity in the virgin coconut oil. As shown in Figure 4, the highest antioxidant activity was obtained when the extraction of virgin coconut oil was performed at the pressure of 18 MPa to 22 MPa and temperature of 40°C to 55°C. Temperature is one of the most significant factors affecting antioxidant activity [8]. When increasing the extraction temperature, the least antioxidant activity was attained because the easily oxidisable antioxidants show a decrease antioxidant activity with increasing temperature at a slower rate than the less oxidisable ones [9].

3.4 Effect of Temperature and Pressure on Total Phenolic Content

The total phenolic content was increased with the increasing of extraction temperature as shown in Figure 5. The highest total phenolic content as indicated in the contour plot was more than 8 when the extraction of virgin coconut oil was performed at a temperature and pressure of 55°C to 70°C and 15 MPa respectively. Studies have shown that the solubility of phenolic increases with the increasing of operating pressure resulting an increase in phenolic yield [10-13].

3.5 Pareto Chart

The Pareto chart shows the most influential variable that can affect the extraction process. The variable that has the highest impact on the extraction process is located on the top of the chart and the variable that has the least impact on the extraction process will be located at the bottom of the chart.

As shown in Figure 6, the most influential variable in the extraction process of virgin coconut oil was the operating pressure in linear term with degree value of 4.091. This is because increasing the operating pressure will increase the solubility of the material and thus give the highest oil yield. Furthermore, extraction process performed at higher pressure will reduce the viscosity of the supercritical fluid and avoid the surface tension limitation. Hence, this can lower the resistance causing the mass transfer more favorable.

3.6 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Analysis of variance was used to test the significance of the quadratic model by applying the F-test measurement with 90% confidence level. F-value is a measurement of variance of data about the mean, based on the ratio of mean square of group variance due to error. ANOVA can be determined by comparing the F-value of the statistical models with the experimental data. The quadratic models of the extraction of oil yield, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content were shown as below:

Oil Yield:

\[ Y_1 = 65.509 - 1.326X + 0.063X^2 - 1.78Y - 0.015Y^2 \]  

Antioxidant activity:

\[ Y_2 = -1379.223 + 103.985X - 2.670X^2 + 20.605Y - 0.213Y^2 \]  

Total Phenolic Content:

\[ Y_3 = -1308.93 + 101.12X - 2.62X^2 + 19.52Y - 0.20Y^2 \]
Table 2 shows the analysis of variance for oil yield, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content. The $F_{table}$ of the oil yield was 4.1072 while the $F_{calculated}$ was 5.3852 which is larger than the $F_{table}$. Therefore, the $F_{calculated}$ falls in the accepted region of the $F$ distribution curve and this shows that the quadratic model is significant and the null hypothesis is accepted. Meanwhile, the value of $F_{table}$ for the antioxidant activity was 4.1072 while the $F_{calculated}$ is smaller than $F_{table}$ which is 1.365. Hence, the $F_{calculated}$ falls in the rejected region of the $F$ distribution curve and this shows that the statistical model is not significant and the null hypothesis is rejected. Furthermore, the ANOVA analysis of total phenolic content, the $F_{table}$ was 4.1072 while the $F_{calculated}$ is 0.3431, which is smaller than the $F_{table}$. So, the $F_{calculated}$ falls in the rejected region of the $F$ distribution curve and this also shows that the model is not significant and the null hypothesis is rejected.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The extraction of virgin coconut oil (VCO) was performed using supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO$_2$) at various temperature and pressure. The use of SC-CO$_2$ extraction technique extracts more than 14% on average with shorter extraction time at a fix constant flow rate. The extracted VCO was analyzed using response surface methodology (RSM) to evaluate the effect of pressure and temperature on the oil yield, antioxidant activity and total phenolic content. The Pareto chart showed that the most influential parameter is operating pressure in order to obtain the optimum oil yield. The optimum condition for the extraction of VCO was obtained through statistical study which is pressure 20MPa and temperature 47°C giving 22.67% oil yield.
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